



# **Objectives & Motivations**

# **Objectives:**

- Formulate a regression problem by treating neuroimaging data (e.g. EEG, fMRI) as covariates and clinical outcome as response
- Understand the neural development of normal brains and brains with disorders through neuroimaging data

# **Motivations:**

- Classical generalized linear models (GLMs) use vectors as covariates
- Modern neuroimaging modalities generate covariates that are multidimensional (tensors)
- Vectorizing neuroimaging data can be million-dimensional, making computation infeasible
- Vectorizing destroys inherent spatial and temporal structure of tensor that hold valuable information

# Preliminaries

**Tensors**:





3-D Array (Tensor)

Tensors are multidimensional arrays:

- Formally denoted as  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_1 imes D_2 imes ... imes D_N}$
- Generalizations of vectors and matrices

# **Generalized Linear Model:**

$$y = \langle X, \beta \rangle + \epsilon,$$
 where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times 1}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times N}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ 

# *Remarks*:

- P denotes the sample size of X
- N denotes the dimensions of the vectorized form of X and  $\beta$

# **Kronecker Product:**

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_M \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times 1}$$
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_N \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$$
$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 B & a_2 B & \dots & a_M B \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times 1}$$

# Towards Tensor Regression with Applications in Neuroimaging Data Analysis Soo Min Kwon<sup>\*</sup>, Anand D. Sarwate<sup>\*</sup> \*Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey Methodology Results **Problem Formulation:** • Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ denote the weight parameters in our regression model data $(x_i, y_i)$ • Let $\vec{\beta} = vec(\beta)$ , where $vec(\cdot)$ is the function that vectorizes a multidimensional array • If $\beta = ab^T$ , where $a \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$ , then $\vec{\beta} = a \otimes b$ , that is, $\beta$ admits

- a Kronecker structure

By exploiting the Kronecker structure of our parameters, we rewrite our regression model formulation as the following:

 $y = \langle X, (\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2) \rangle + \epsilon$ 

# **Solution:**

To solve, formulate into an optimization problem:

 $\underset{\beta_1,\beta_2}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \quad \frac{1}{N} \|Y - X(\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2)\|_F^2$ 

# *Remarks:*

- Our model simplifies the GLM by solving for two parameters of dimensions  $(N \times 1)$  than solving for one parameter of dimensions  $(N^2 \times 1)$
- Can solve for  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  using an alternating minimization method or by gradient descent

# Future Work & Plans

- Imposing a Kronecker structure to our regression parameters allows us to solve for less parameters more efficiently
- We can generalize this Kronecker model to tensor regression models with high-dimensional covariates

# **Roadmap**:



(1)

- $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{10 \times 10}$  is a 2-dimensional image
- vectorized dimensions of  $\beta$
- $\epsilon$  is drawn from  $N(0, \sigma^2)$  with different  $\sigma^2$

# **Results** (n=750):



# **Plot of Errors** (n=250, 500, 750):



# References

[1] Hua Zhou, Lexin Li, Hongtu Zhu. Tensor Regression with Applications in Neuroimaging Data Analysis. Journal of the American Statistical Association. Mar. 2012.



**Goal:** Observe the true signal region in  $\beta$  using synthetically generated

• Simulated n=250, 500, 750 (sample size) univariate responses  $y_i$ according to the Kronecker structured model •  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{750 \times 100}$  is drawn i.i.d. from N(0, 1), where 100 is the



